

## Barriers to Effective Seismic Risk Assessment in High-Rise Structures

\* Amera Al Aouth Hamed

\*Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Derna University, Alguba-Libya

### العوائق أمام التقييم الفعال للمخاطر الزلزالية في المباني الشاهقة

\* أميرة المبروك عوض

\* قسم الهندسة المدنية، كلية الهندسة، جامعة درنة، القبة - ليبيا

\*Corresponding author: [amera.hamed@uod.edu.ly](mailto:amera.hamed@uod.edu.ly)

Received: July 20, 2024

Accepted: August 29, 2024

Published: September 26, 2024

#### Abstract:

This article emphasizes the essential need for assessing the seismic performance of tall buildings in an increasingly urbanized and seismically active environment. Despite considerable progress in understanding and addressing seismic risks, challenges and limitations remain in accurately predicting the performance of structures under real-world conditions. Continuous research and innovation are crucial for developing more effective evaluation methods and design practices. By adopting emerging technologies, improving existing models, and fostering collaboration among stakeholders, the field can significantly enhance the resilience of tall buildings to seismic threats. Ultimately, a proactive approach to seismic performance evaluation is vital for ensuring the safety and sustainability of our built environments, safeguarding lives and property against natural disasters.

**Keywords:** Seismic performance; Tall buildings; Seismic risks; Evaluation methods.

#### المخلص

تؤكد هذه المراجعة على الحاجة الأساسية لتقييم الأداء الزلزالي للمباني الشاهقة في بيئة حضرية نشطة زلزالياً بشكل متزايد. على الرغم من التقدم الكبير في فهم ومعالجة المخاطر الزلزالية، لا تزال التحديات والقيود قائمة في التنبؤ بدقة بأداء الهياكل في ظل الظروف الحقيقية. يعد البحث المستمر والابتكار أمرين حيويين لتطوير طرق تقييم وتصميم أكثر فعالية. من خلال اعتماد التكنولوجيا الناشئة، وتحسين النماذج الحالية، وتعزيز التعاون بين الأطراف المعنية، يمكن أن يعزز المجال بشكل كبير من مرونة المباني الشاهقة تجاه التهديدات الزلزالية. في نهاية المطاف، يُعتبر اتباع نهج استباقي في تقييم الأداء الزلزالي ضرورياً لضمان سلامة واستدامة بيئاتنا المبنية، وحماية الأرواح والممتلكات من الكوارث الطبيعية.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** الأداء الزلزالي؛ المباني الشاهقة؛ المخاطر الزلزالية؛ طرق التقييم.

#### Introduction

In recent decades, the proliferation of tall buildings has significantly reshaped urban landscapes across the globe. These structures, often characterized by their height, architectural complexity, and multifunctional use, symbolize economic growth and innovation in modern architecture. Tall buildings serve a myriad of purposes, including residential, commercial, and mixed-use developments, thus playing a pivotal role in accommodating the growing urban population. As cities continue to expand vertically to optimize land use, the architectural and engineering challenges associated with these structures become increasingly pronounced [1,2].

Given the increasing incidence of seismic activities worldwide, the evaluation of seismic performance in tall buildings has emerged as a critical concern for architects, engineers, and urban planners. Earthquakes pose substantial risks to the integrity and safety of high-rise structures, particularly due to their susceptibility to dynamic loads and potential for catastrophic failure [3]. Historical evidence of seismic disasters has underscored the urgent need for rigorous assessment methodologies to ensure that these buildings can withstand seismic forces without compromising occupant safety or structural stability.

This article aims to synthesize existing research on the seismic performance evaluation of tall buildings, addressing key methodologies, structural systems, and design principles relevant to this field. By examining various evaluation techniques and their effectiveness in predicting the seismic response of tall buildings, this study seeks to highlight best practices and identify areas for further research. Ultimately, the objective is to contribute to the development of more resilient urban infrastructures capable of withstanding the challenges posed by seismic events, thereby enhancing the safety and sustainability of our built environment.

### **Seismic Hazards and Their Implications for Tall Buildings**

Seismic hazards represent a significant threat to the structural integrity and safety of tall buildings, particularly in regions prone to earthquakes. These hazards encompass various phenomena, including ground shaking, surface rupture, and soil liquefaction, each of which poses unique challenges to high-rise construction. As urban populations continue to grow and cities expand vertically, understanding and mitigating the risks associated with seismic events has become increasingly paramount. Tall buildings, while often marvels of modern engineering, are inherently vulnerable to the dynamic forces generated by earthquakes [5,6]. The complexities of their design—ranging from their height and mass distribution to their structural materials—can exacerbate the impacts of seismic activity. Inadequate seismic performance can lead to catastrophic failures, resulting in not only the loss of property but also the potential for significant loss of life. Historical events, such as the devastating earthquakes in San Francisco, Northridge, and Haiti, have underscored the critical need for comprehensive seismic assessments and resilient design practices to protect these structures and their occupants.

#### **i. Description of Seismic Hazards and Their Characteristics**

Seismic hazards refer to the potential threats posed by earthquakes, which can manifest in various forms, including ground shaking, surface rupture, landslides, liquefaction, and tsunamis. The characteristics of these hazards depend on several factors, including the magnitude of the earthquake, the distance from the epicenter, the local geological conditions, and the building's design and materials.

Ground shaking is the most common seismic hazard, resulting from the release of energy during an earthquake. It can lead to oscillations in the ground, which exert dynamic forces on structures. The intensity and frequency of these oscillations can vary significantly, affecting different types of buildings in distinct ways. Surface rupture occurs when fault lines shift during an earthquake, causing vertical and horizontal displacements that can severely damage structures located directly above the fault. Additionally, geological conditions, such as soil composition and moisture levels, can influence the extent of seismic hazards, with softer soils amplifying seismic waves and increasing ground motion.

#### **ii. Discussion on the Implications of Earthquakes on Structural Integrity and Safety**

The implications of earthquakes on the structural integrity and safety of tall buildings are profound. The unique design and construction features of high-rise structures make them particularly vulnerable to seismic forces. When subjected to strong ground shaking, tall buildings may experience lateral displacements and torsional motions, which can lead to structural damage or, in extreme cases, collapse. The response of these structures to seismic activity is influenced by their height, mass distribution, and stiffness, making the evaluation of their seismic performance essential.

Seismic forces can induce stresses that exceed the material capacity of structural components, leading to fatigue and deterioration over time. Furthermore, non-structural elements, such as cladding, partitions, and mechanical systems, may also suffer damage, posing additional risks to occupants. The potential for significant economic losses, coupled with the human toll of structural failures, underscores the necessity of comprehensive seismic assessments and retrofitting strategies for existing tall buildings.

#### **iii. Historical Context of Seismic Failures in Tall Buildings**

The historical context of seismic failures in tall buildings provides critical insights into the vulnerabilities inherent in high-rise design and construction. Notable seismic events, such as the 1994 Northridge

earthquake in California and the 2010 Haiti earthquake, have resulted in extensive damage to tall structures, highlighting deficiencies in design standards and construction practices. For instance, the collapse of the 19-story Wilshire Courtyard building during the Northridge earthquake underscored the importance of incorporating seismic resilience into design codes, as it revealed significant weaknesses in lateral force resistance.

These historical failures have prompted revisions to building codes and the development of more sophisticated design methodologies aimed at enhancing the seismic resilience of tall buildings. Lessons learned from past events have also fostered advancements in engineering practices, including the adoption of performance-based design approaches that prioritize the safety and functionality of buildings in seismic zones. By examining these historical precedents, engineers and architects can better understand the complexities of seismic performance and apply these insights to improve the safety of future high-rise constructions.

## **Seismic Design Principles for Tall Buildings**

### **i. Fundamental Seismic Design Principles**

Seismic design principles form the backbone of strategies aimed at safeguarding tall buildings against the forces exerted by earthquakes. These principles are grounded in the understanding of how structures respond to seismic events and are integral to achieving safety and functionality during and after an earthquake. Fundamental seismic design principles include flexibility, strength, ductility, and energy dissipation [7]. Flexibility allows a building to absorb and dissipate seismic energy without sustaining critical damage, enabling it to sway in response to ground motion. Strength is essential to ensure that structural elements can withstand the maximum expected seismic forces. Ductility refers to the ability of materials and structural components to deform without failing, providing a reserve of strength that enables buildings to endure large displacements. Lastly, energy dissipation systems, such as dampers, help to reduce the amount of energy transferred to the structure, minimizing potential damage.

### **ii. Key Design Methodologies Employed in Tall Buildings**

Various design methodologies have been developed to enhance the seismic resilience of tall buildings, each addressing specific structural characteristics and performance objectives [8,9].

- **Ductility:** This methodology prioritizes the use of materials and designs that can undergo significant deformation without experiencing failure. Reinforced concrete and steel structures are often designed with ductility in mind, allowing them to absorb energy during seismic events.
- **Redundancy:** Redundant structural systems ensure that if one element fails, others can carry the load, preventing progressive collapse. This approach involves designing multiple load paths and employing backup systems, which enhances overall stability.
- **Base Isolation:** This innovative technique involves placing isolators between a building's superstructure and its foundation, allowing the building to move independently of ground motion. This significantly reduces the forces transmitted to the structure during an earthquake.
- **Shear Walls and Moment-Resisting Frames:** Shear walls provide lateral strength and stiffness, while moment-resisting frames allow for flexibility and energy dissipation. Both systems are commonly used in tall buildings to enhance seismic performance.
- **Performance-Based Design (PBD):** PBD focuses on achieving specific performance objectives under varying levels of seismic activity. This approach allows engineers to tailor design solutions to meet the unique requirements of each building.

### **iii. Importance of Building Codes and Regulations in Seismic Design**

Building codes and regulations play a crucial role in guiding the seismic design of tall buildings. These codes establish minimum safety standards, ensuring that structures are designed to withstand anticipated seismic forces based on regional hazard assessments. They incorporate the latest research findings, technological advancements, and lessons learned from past seismic events, providing a framework for engineers and architects to follow [10,11].

Compliance with building codes not only enhances the safety and resilience of tall buildings but also promotes public confidence in the structural integrity of urban environments. Regular updates to these codes, driven by ongoing research and real-world experiences, reflect the evolving understanding of seismic behavior and design methodologies. Ultimately, adherence to robust building regulations is

essential for minimizing risks associated with seismic hazards and ensuring the longevity and safety of high-rise structures in seismic-prone areas.

## Structural Systems for Seismic Resistance

### 1. Examination of Various Structural Systems Used in Tall Buildings

Tall buildings utilize various structural systems designed to resist seismic forces effectively. Among the most commonly employed systems are moment-resisting frames, shear walls, and core systems, each offering unique advantages and challenges in seismic performance [12,13].

- i. **Moment-Resisting Frames (MRFs):**
  - **Description:** MRFs consist of beams and columns connected in such a way that they can bend and deform without significant damage. This system allows for lateral movement during seismic events.
  - **Usage:** Commonly used in mid to high-rise buildings where flexibility and architectural freedom are required.
- ii. **Shear Walls:**
  - **Description:** Vertical walls that provide lateral stability by resisting shear forces. These walls are often made of reinforced concrete and are strategically placed within the building layout.
  - **Usage:** Typically used in residential and commercial high-rise buildings to enhance lateral strength.
- iii. **Core Systems:**
  - **Description:** Core systems feature a central structural core, often containing elevators and stairwells, surrounded by shear walls. This core provides significant lateral stiffness and stability.
  - **Usage:** Frequently utilized in skyscrapers where maximizing usable floor area is essential.

### 2. Advantages and Disadvantages of Each System in Terms of Seismic Performance

- i. **Moment-Resisting Frames:**
  - **Advantages:**
    - High ductility allows for energy absorption during seismic events.
    - Flexibility in architectural design, enabling open floor plans.
  - **Disadvantages:**
    - May require larger cross-sections to ensure adequate strength, which can limit usable space.
    - Potential for excessive sway, leading to discomfort for occupants.
- ii. **Shear Walls:**
  - **Advantages:**
    - Excellent lateral strength and stiffness, effectively resisting seismic forces.
    - Reduced lateral displacement, leading to greater structural stability.
  - **Disadvantages:**
    - Limited architectural flexibility due to the need for continuous walls.
    - Potential for cracking and damage during large seismic events if not properly designed.
- iii. **Core Systems:**
  - **Advantages:**
    - Provides a central location for mechanical systems, enhancing efficiency.
    - Significantly improves overall stability and lateral performance.
  - **Disadvantages:**
    - Construction complexity can lead to increased costs and time.
    - Requires careful planning to integrate with other structural elements.

### 3. Innovations in Structural Systems Aimed at Enhancing Seismic Resilience

Recent advancements in structural systems have focused on enhancing the seismic resilience of tall buildings through innovative design and technology [15,16].

- i. **Base Isolation Systems:** These systems employ flexible bearings to decouple the building from ground motion, significantly reducing the forces transmitted to the structure. This technology allows for independent movement of the building during an earthquake, protecting structural integrity.

- ii. **Damping Systems:** Incorporating devices such as tuned mass dampers and viscous dampers helps to dissipate energy during seismic events. These systems reduce vibrations and sway, enhancing occupant comfort and structural performance.
- iii. **Hybrid Structural Systems:** The integration of various structural systems, such as combining shear walls with moment-resisting frames or core systems, creates hybrid solutions that capitalize on the strengths of each system. This approach provides increased lateral resistance and flexibility.
- iv. **Advanced Materials:** The use of high-performance materials, such as fiber-reinforced polymers and shape memory alloys, enhances the strength and ductility of structural elements. These materials can better withstand seismic forces while reducing the overall weight of the structure.
- v. **Performance-Based Design (PBD):** This innovative approach focuses on designing structures to meet specific performance objectives under different seismic scenarios. PBD allows for tailored solutions that optimize safety, functionality, and cost-effectiveness in seismic-prone areas.

Through the adoption of these innovations, the resilience of tall buildings against seismic hazards can be significantly improved, ultimately contributing to safer urban environments. The ongoing research and development in structural systems will continue to advance our understanding of how to best protect high-rise structures in the face of seismic challenges.

## Evaluation Methods for Seismic Performance

### i. Theoretical and Computational Methods for Seismic Performance Evaluation

The evaluation of seismic performance for tall buildings involves a variety of theoretical and computational methods designed to assess how structures will behave during seismic events. These methods can be broadly categorized into static and dynamic analyses, each providing unique insights into the building's response to seismic forces. The choice of evaluation method depends on various factors, including the building's complexity, height, and the specific seismic risks associated with its location [15-17].

#### ➤ Static Methods

##### 1. Equivalent Static Method:

- **Description:** The Equivalent Static Method simplifies the dynamic analysis of a building by converting dynamic seismic forces into equivalent static lateral loads. This approach is based on the assumption that the structure behaves linearly and that the seismic forces can be represented by a static force applied at the center of mass of each floor.
- **Application:** This method is typically used for low to mid-rise buildings with regular geometry and mass distribution. It provides a quick and straightforward approach for preliminary design and assessment.
- **Limitations:** While useful for simpler structures, it may not accurately capture the complex dynamic behavior of taller or irregular buildings, leading to underestimation of seismic forces.

#### ➤ Dynamic Methods

##### 1. Response Spectrum Analysis:

- **Description:** This method utilizes a response spectrum, which represents the maximum response of a series of single-degree-of-freedom systems to seismic excitation at varying periods. By applying this spectrum to the building's dynamic properties, engineers can determine the expected maximum response of the structure.
- **Application:** Response spectrum analysis is commonly employed for mid to high-rise buildings, especially when a more accurate representation of seismic response is needed than provided by static methods.
- **Limitations:** While it accounts for the effects of the building's dynamic characteristics, it assumes linear behavior and does not consider the time history of ground motion.

##### 2. Time History Analysis:

- **Description:** This method involves subjecting a building model to actual or simulated ground motion records to evaluate its response over time. The analysis provides a detailed understanding of the structure's behavior during specific seismic events.

- **Application:** Time history analysis is particularly useful for complex and irregular structures, allowing for the evaluation of nonlinear effects and dynamic interactions within the building.
- **Limitations:** It requires significant computational resources and detailed modeling, making it more time-consuming and complex than static or response spectrum methods.

## ii. Performance-Based Seismic Design (PBSD)

Performance-Based Seismic Design (PBSD) represents a paradigm shift in how seismic performance is evaluated and addressed. This methodology focuses on achieving specific performance objectives for structures under varying seismic conditions.

- **Description:** PBSD involves defining target performance levels, such as life safety or immediate occupancy, and designing the structure to meet these objectives through an iterative process of analysis and design. It considers both the expected seismic demand and the building's capacity to withstand these demands.
- **Application:** This approach is particularly relevant for tall buildings, where the consequences of failure can be severe. PBSD allows for a more tailored design that accounts for the unique characteristics of each building and its intended use.
- **Advantages:** PBSD provides a more comprehensive assessment of seismic performance, addressing issues related to occupancy safety, functionality after an earthquake, and economic loss.

## iii. Comparison of Different Evaluation Methods and Their Applicability to Tall Buildings

When evaluating the seismic performance of tall buildings, the choice of method depends on various factors, including structural complexity, design objectives, and regulatory requirements.

- **Static methods**, such as the Equivalent Static Method, are suitable for preliminary assessments of simpler structures but may not adequately address the complexities of taller buildings.
- **Dynamic methods**, particularly Response Spectrum Analysis and Time History Analysis, offer more accurate insights into the seismic response of tall buildings. Response Spectrum Analysis is effective for structures with regular geometries, while Time History Analysis is essential for capturing the nonlinear behavior of complex and irregular buildings.
- **PBSD** provides a holistic approach that allows for the customization of design solutions based on performance objectives, making it particularly relevant for high-stakes tall building projects.

Ultimately, a combination of these methods may be necessary to comprehensively evaluate the seismic performance of tall buildings, ensuring that they are designed to withstand seismic events and protect the safety and well-being of their occupants. The ongoing development of these methodologies will continue to enhance the accuracy and reliability of seismic performance evaluations in the context of tall buildings.

## Challenges and Limitations

### i. Challenges Faced in Seismic Performance Evaluation of Tall Buildings

The evaluation of seismic performance in tall buildings presents several challenges that can hinder accurate assessments and effective design solutions. One significant challenge is the inherent complexity of tall structures, which often feature irregular geometries, mixed-use functionalities, and unique architectural designs. These factors complicate the modeling process and increase the uncertainty associated with predicting seismic behavior. Another challenge lies in the variability of seismic hazards. The unpredictable nature of earthquakes, including their magnitude, frequency, and ground motion characteristics, makes it difficult to establish reliable design parameters. This uncertainty necessitates conservative design approaches, which may not fully optimize the balance between safety and cost-efficiency. Furthermore, the interaction between structural and non-structural elements during seismic events poses additional challenges. Non-structural components, such as cladding and interior partitions, can affect the overall performance of the building but are often not adequately represented in traditional evaluation methods.

### ii. Limitations of Current Methods and Models in Predicting Real-World Performance

While various methods exist for evaluating seismic performance, they each have inherent limitations that can affect their applicability to real-world scenarios. Static methods, such as the Equivalent Static Method, do not account for the dynamic response of structures and can lead to an oversimplification of seismic forces, particularly for tall buildings subject to complex loading conditions. Dynamic analysis

methods, including Response Spectrum Analysis and Time History Analysis, provide more detailed insights but require precise modeling of material properties, boundary conditions, and loading scenarios. In practice, the accuracy of these models can be compromised by uncertainties in input parameters and the assumptions made during analysis. Moreover, many existing models are based on historical data that may not reflect contemporary seismic risks or advancements in building materials and construction techniques. This disparity can lead to inadequacies in predicting the actual performance of tall buildings under seismic loads.

### **Future Directions and Innovations**

#### **i. Exploration of Emerging Trends and Technologies in Seismic Performance Evaluation**

The field of seismic performance evaluation is evolving, with several emerging trends and technologies poised to enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of assessments for tall buildings. One significant trend is the integration of advanced computational techniques, such as nonlinear finite element analysis and real-time monitoring systems. These technologies enable more detailed simulations of structural behavior during seismic events, allowing engineers to assess performance under a variety of scenarios. Another innovation is the use of performance-based seismic design (PBSD), which shifts the focus from meeting minimum code requirements to achieving specific performance objectives. This approach encourages the development of customized design solutions that better address the unique characteristics and risks associated with each tall building. Additionally, advancements in materials science, such as the use of high-performance concrete and smart materials, provide new opportunities for enhancing the seismic resilience of tall buildings. These materials can improve strength, ductility, and energy dissipation, contributing to more robust structures.

#### **ii. Recommendations for Future Research Directions and Improvements in Design Practices**

To advance the seismic performance evaluation of tall buildings, several key research directions and improvements in design practices are recommended. First, there is a need for the development of more comprehensive and reliable seismic design guidelines that incorporate the latest research findings and reflect the complexities of modern high-rise structures. Furthermore, increased emphasis on experimental studies and field testing can provide valuable data to validate and refine existing models and design methodologies. Collaboration between researchers, engineers, and regulatory bodies will be essential in this endeavor. The adoption of interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from structural engineering, geotechnical engineering, and material science can also enhance the effectiveness of seismic evaluations. This holistic perspective will facilitate a better understanding of the interactions between various components of tall buildings and their response to seismic forces.

### **Conclusion**

This article highlights the critical importance of evaluating the seismic performance of tall buildings in an increasingly urbanized and seismically active world. While significant advancements have been made in understanding and mitigating seismic risks, challenges and limitations persist in accurately predicting real-world performance. Ongoing research and innovation are paramount to developing more effective evaluation methods and design practices. By embracing emerging technologies, refining existing models, and fostering collaboration among stakeholders, the field can enhance the resilience of tall buildings against seismic hazards. Ultimately, a proactive approach to seismic performance evaluation will contribute to the safety and sustainability of our built environments, protecting lives and property in the face of natural disasters.

### **References**

1. Yang, T.Y. Assessing seismic risks for new and existing buildings using performance-based earthquake engineering (PBEE) methodology. In *Handbook of Seismic Risk Analysis and Management of Civil Infrastructure Systems*; Woodhead Publishing Limited: Sawston, UK, 2013; pp. 307–333.
2. Zhou, C.; Tian, M.; Guo, K. Seismic partitioned fragility analysis for high-rise RC chimney considering multidimensional ground motion. *Struct. Des. Tall Spec. Build.* 2019, 28, e1568.1–e1568.14.
3. Xiong, H.; Chen, J.; Ventura, C. Seismic fragility analysis of a high-rise concrete-wood hybrid structural system. In *Proceedings of the 17th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Sendai, Japan, 13–18 September 2020.
4. Lu, Z.; He, X.; Zhou, Y. Performance-based seismic analysis on a super high-rise building with improved viscously damped outrigger system. *Struct. Control. Health Monit.* 2018, 25, e2190.

5. Zhang, S.; Zhou, J.; Hao, Y. Seismic vulnerability analysis of high-rise composite frame concrete tube composite structure. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2020, 455, 012010.
6. Jiang, X.; Liu, W.; Yang, H.; Zhang, J.; Yu, L. Study on dynamic response characteristics of slope with double-arch tunnel under seismic action. *Geotech. Geol. Eng.* 2021, 39, 1349–1363.
7. Kim, T.-H.; Lee, H.-M.; Kim, Y.-J.; Shin, H. Performance assessment of precast concrete segmental bridge columns with a shear resistant connecting structure. *Eng. Struct.* 2010, 32, 1292–1303.
8. Taslimi, A.; Tehranizadeh, M.; Shamlu, M. Seismic fragility analysis of RC frame-core wall buildings under the combined vertical and horizontal ground motions. *Earthq. Struct.* 2021, 20, 175–185.
9. Jeong, S.-H.; Mwafy, A.M.; Elnashai, A.S. Probabilistic seismic performance assessment of code-compliant multi-story RC buildings. *Eng. Struct.* 2012, 34, 527–537.
10. Lee, D.-G.; Choi, W.-H.; Cheong, M.-C.; Kim, D.-K. Evaluation of seismic performance of multistory building structures based on the equivalent responses. *Eng. Struct.* 2006, 28, 837–856.
11. Vijayan, V.; Santhi, M.H.; Mohan, R. Seismic performance of high rise buildings with different types of shear wall. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2020, 936, 012055.
12. Georgantzia, E.; Nikolaidis, T.; Katakalos, K.; Tsikaloudaki, K.; Iliadis, T. Dynamic Performance Analysis by Laboratory Tests of a Sustainable Prefabricated Composite Structural Wall System. *Energies* 2022, 15, 3458.
13. Akiyama, H. *Earthquake Resistant Limit State Design for Buildings*; University of Tokyo Press: Tokyo, Japan, 1985.
14. Jankowski, R. Pounding force response spectrum under earthquake excitation. *Eng. Struct.* 2006, 28, 1149–1161.
15. Jiang, J. Comparative study on performance-based seismic design method and capacity-based seismic design method. *Struct. Eng.* 2008, 24, 25–52.
16. FEMA-273; Building Seismic Safety Council. *NEHRP Guideline for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings*. Federal Emergency Management Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 1997.
17. NZS 3101; *Concrete Structures Standard: Part 1: The Design of Concrete Structures*. Standards New Zealand: Wellington, New Zealand, 2006.