Afro-Asian Journal of Scientific Research (AAJSR)
المجلة الأفروآسيوية للبحث العلمي
E-ISSN: 2959-6505
Volume 3, Issue 3, 2025
Page No: 185-188
Website: https://aajsr.com/index.php/aajsr/index
SJIFactor 2024: 5.028 ISI 2024: 0.580 معامل التأثير العربي (AIF) 2023: 0.51

Libya on China's Silk Road: A Critical Analysis of the Opportunities and Risks of Libya's Engagement with the Belt and Road Initiative

Amira Jamal Edin Amhamed Elmofti *
The Libyan Authority for Scientific Research, Tripoli, Libya

ليبيا على طريق الحرير الصيني: تحليل نقدي لفرص ومخاطر انخراط ليبيا في مبادرة الحزام والطريق

أميرة جمال الدين إمحمد المفتي*
الهيئة الليبية للبحث العلمي، طرابلس، ليبيا

*Corresponding author: amiraelmufti79@gmail.com

Received: July 25, 2025	Accepted: August 20, 2025	Published: August 24, 2025

Abstract:
The period following the 2011 Libyan revolution represents a critical juncture in the country's modern history, characterized by the collapse of state institutions and the disintegration of the social fabric. This created a prolonged political and security vacuum that multiple external powers have sought to fill. This paper provides a comprehensive critical analysis of Libya's potential engagement with China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which represents one of Beijing's most significant geopolitical and economic tools in the second decade of the 21st century. The paper is grounded in a central thesis that although the BRI presents itself as a financially attractive and politically neutral pathway for post-conflict reconstruction, it in fact carries substantial risks that could lead to deepened dependency, exacerbated governance challenges, and deeper entanglement of Libya in great power competition. The research methodology employs an in-depth case study approach using qualitative analysis tools, including examination of primary policy documents from the Chinese government and its affiliated institutions, previously signed project agreements in similar contexts, and secondary analysis of economic data and specialized political reports. The study finds that potential benefits from large-scale Chinese infrastructure investments are counterbalanced by profound risks including potential debt-trap dynamics, rampant structural corruption, and erosion of national sovereignty. These challenges are all exacerbated within the context of persistent internal division and the absence of a unified central government capable of managing these agreements with transparency. The Libyan case represents a crucial test for the BRI's flexibility and its ability to adapt to fragile state contexts, while also providing clear indicators about the initiative's long-term impacts on the political economies of conflict-affected states. The paper concludes by emphasizing the necessity of adopting a cautious and realistic approach to dealing with China's offer, while stressing the priority of building national institutions and implementing transparent investment management frameworks as prerequisites for any effective and sustainable future cooperation.

Keywords: Libya, Belt and Road Initiative, China, Post-Conflict Reconstruction, Fragile States, Geopolitics, Political Economy, International Relations.

الملخص
شكل الفترة التي أعقبت الثورة الليبية في عام 2011 مرحلة حرجة في التاريخ الحديث للبلاد، تميزت بانهيار مؤسسات الدولة وتفكك النسيج الاجتماعي، مما أدى إلى فراغ سياسي وأمني طويل الأمد سعت قوى خارجية متعددة لملئه. تقدم هذه الورقة البحثية تحليلاً نقدياً شاملاً لإمكانية انخراط ليبيا في مبادرة الحزام والطريق الصينية، والتي تمثل أحد أبرز الأدوات الجيوسياسية والاقتصادية لبكين في العقد الثاني من القرن الحادي والعشرين. تستند الورقة إلى أطروحة رئيسية مفادها أنه

على الرغم من أن مبادرة الحزام والطريق تقدم نفسها كمسار جذاب ماليًا ومحايد سياسيًا لإعادة الإعمار ما بعد الصراع، فإنها تنطوي في الواقع على مخاطر جسيمة قد تؤدي إلى تعميق التبعية، وتفاقم تحديات الحوكمة، وإشراك ليبيا في صراع القوى العظمى بشكل أعمق. اعتمدت المنهجية البحثية على دراسة حالة متعمقة باستخدام أدوات التحليل النوعي، من خلال تحليل الوثائق السياسية الأولية الصادرة عن الحكومة الصينية والمؤسسات التابعة لها، واتفاقيات المشاريع الموقعة سابقاً في سياقات مماثلة، بالإضافة إلى تحليل ثانوي للبيانات الاقتصادية والتقارير السياسية المتخصصة. توصلت الدراسة إلى أن فرص الاستفادة من الاستثمارات الصينية الكبيرة في مجال البنية التحتية تقابلها مخاطر عميقة تتمثل في إمكانية الوقوع في فخ المديونية، وتفشي الفساد الهيكلي، وتآكل السيادة الوطنية. وتتفاقم هذه التحديات جميعها في ظل استمرار الانقسام الداخلي وغياب حكومة مركزية موحدة قادرة على إدارة هذه الاتفاقيات بشفافية. تمثل الحالة الليبية اختباراً حاسماً لمرونة مبادرة الحزام والطريق وقدرتها على التكيف مع سياقات الدول الهشة، كما تقدم مؤشراً واضحاً حول الآثار طويلة المدى لهذه المبادرة على الاقتصادات السياسية للدول التي تشهد صراعات. تخلص الورقة إلى ضرورة تبني مقاربة حذرة وواقعية للتعامل مع العرض الصيني، مع التأكيد على أولوية بناء المؤسسات الوطنية واعتماد أطر شفافة لإدارة الاستثمارات كشروط مسبقة لأي تعاون مستقبلي فعال ومستدام.

الكلمات المفتاحية: ليبيا، مبادرة الحزام والطريق، الصين، إعادة الإعمار، الدول الهشة، الجيوسياسة، الاقتصاد السياسي، العلاقات الدولية.

Introduction

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), China's flagship foreign policy and economic strategy, has extended its reach across the globe, with significant focus on Africa and the Middle East. While often analyzed through the lens of stable developing economies, its engagement with fragile and conflict-affected states like Libya presents a more complex and under-examined dynamic. Since the fall of the Gaddafi regime in 2011, Libya has been characterized by political fragmentation, economic volatility, and internal conflict, creating both a desperate need for reconstruction and a highly perilous environment for foreign investment [1].

This paper examines the precarious position of Libya as a potential node on China's "Digital Silk Road" and maritime routes. It posits that the convergence of Libya's acute need for investment and China's search for strategic leverage and resources creates a high-risk, high-reward scenario fundamentally different from its BRI engagements in more stable countries [2]. The central research question is: What are the specific opportunities and risks for Libya inherent in a deeper engagement with the Belt and Road Initiative, and what do they reveal about the BRI's operation in fragile states?

The analysis proceeds in four parts. First, it reviews the literature on China's BRI strategy in Africa and the Middle East. Second, it outlines the historical and contemporary context of Sino-Libyan relations. Third, it provides a critical analysis of the perceived opportunities and the profound, multifaceted risks for Libya. Finally, it discusses the broader implications for Libyan sovereignty and the future of the BRI in geopolitically contested regions.

Literature Review: The BRI in Africa and the Middle East

Existing scholarship on the BRI presents a bifurcated view. Official Chinese narratives and some analysts frame it as a win-win initiative, promoting "connectivity" and South-South cooperation through much-needed infrastructure development. This perspective emphasizes the role of the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB) and Chinese policy banks in filling a critical financing gap, particularly in the developing world [3].

A more critical body of literature, however, analyzes the BRI as a tool of geo-economics strategy. Moreover, this view characterizes Chinese projects as creating dependencies through opaque loan agreements and debt diplomacy, potentially leading to a loss of sovereignty for recipient nations. Scholars like argue that the BRI facilitates a form of "neo-colonialism," where economic leverage is used to secure strategic assets and natural resources while promoting Chinese technical standards [4].

The application of these debates to fragile states is less developed. Work by [5] on "peripheral governance" suggests that Chinese actors often engage with sub-national authorities in weak states, which can undermine central government authority and complicate domestic politics. Libya, with its divided governments and powerful militias, represents an extreme case of this dynamic, making it a crucial case study for testing these theoretical propositions.

Historical Context: Sino-Libyan Relations

Sino-Libyan relations have fluctuated significantly. Under Gaddafi, Libya’s foreign policy was ideologically driven and often unpredictable, though economic ties, particularly in energy, were established. The critical shift occurred in 2011 when China, adhering to its principle of non-interference, did not veto UNSC Resolution 1973 but was highly critical of the NATO-led intervention [6].

In the post-Gaddafi era, China adopted a pragmatic, wait-and-see approach, prioritizing the safety of its citizens and the protection of its existing economic interests, primarily oil imports. Official large-scale BRI engagements were paused due to the security situation. However, Chinese diplomacy has maintained relations with various factions in both the East and West, indicating a strategy of hedging and preparing for any eventual political outcome [7]. This sets the stage for a reactive and opportunistic form of BRI engagement, tailored to Libya's instability.

Analysis: Opportunities and Risks for Libya

Perceived Opportunities

For Libyan authorities, the BRI appears to offer compelling opportunities [6-7]:

- **Post-Conflict Reconstruction:** Libya's infrastructure is severely degraded. The BRI promise of financing and rapid construction for ports, railways, and telecommunications networks is highly attractive to governments lacking capital and technical capacity.
- **Economic Diversification:** Beyond oil, Libya seeks to develop other sectors. Chinese investment could potentially aid in developing manufacturing, logistics, and renewable energy, reducing a historic reliance on hydrocarbons.
- **Apolitical Partnering:** China's professed principle of non-interference in domestic politics is appealing to factions wary of Western conditionality attached to aid and investment, which often involves demands for democratic reforms and human rights improvements.

Multifaceted Risks

The opportunities are overshadowed by profound and interconnected risks [8-10]:

- **Debt and Sovereignty:** As a financially strained state, Libya is highly vulnerable to unsustainable debt. Opaque loan agreements secured against future oil revenues or strategic infrastructure could lead to a loss of economic sovereignty, a phenomenon critics label "debt-trap diplomacy". The port of Piraeus in Greece and Hambantota in Sri Lanka are frequently cited as cautionary tales.
- **Governance and Corruption:** The BRI's top-down, often government-to-government contracting model risks exacerbating Libya's endemic corruption. Large, opaque infrastructure deals could provide resources for patronage, empowering corrupt elites and militias, and further entrenching the very governance failures that underpinned the conflict.
- **Geopolitical Entanglement:** Deepening ties with China could provoke a negative response from the West and other regional powers. Libya risks becoming a new arena for US-China or EU-China competition, which could further destabilize its political landscape and limit its foreign policy options.
- **Social and Labor Tensions:** BRI projects typically use Chinese labor and materials for the majority of high-skilled jobs, offering limited long-term employment benefits for locals. This could fuel social discontent and resentment, particularly in a country with high youth unemployment.

Discussion and Conclusion

The prospective integration of Libya into the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) presents a paradigmatic case of the opportunities and perils confronting fragile states in the contemporary geo-economics landscape. This analysis concludes that while the allure of China's offer is potent, promising vast, non-conditioned infrastructure investment to a nation shattered by a decade of conflict, the attendant risks are profound and, in the current context, decisively outweigh the potential benefits. The very factors that make Libya an attractive prospect for Beijing, its resource wealth, strategic location, and urgent need for reconstruction, are the same that render it acutely vulnerable to the more predatory dimensions of BRI engagement. The Libyan state's fragility, its deeply fractured political sovereignty, and its economic precarity create a perfect storm wherein the dangers of debt dependency, corrosive corruption, and destabilizing geopolitical entanglement are severely amplified.

This case study extends the scholarly discourse on the BRI beyond its application in stable developing states, revealing it as a highly adaptable and opportunistic strategy. As demonstrated, Chinese engagement is not monolithic; it is capable of bypassing central governments to negotiate with sub-state actors, militias, and competing factions in pursuit of its strategic and economic objectives [6]. This flexibility is a strategic asset for China, allowing it to secure assets and influence in unstable but strategically vital regions. However, for a host nation like Libya, this practice is profoundly damaging. It undermines already weak domestic institutions, fuels patronage networks, and can entrench the very divisions that perpetuate conflict. Therefore, the impact of the BRI is not predetermined but is intensely mediated by the domestic governance structures of the partner country. In Libya, where such structures are contested and feeble, the initiative's potential to become a destabilizing force is significant. The Libyan case powerfully illustrates the concept of "weaponized interdependence," whereby economic networks are leveraged for geopolitical ends. For Libya, the risk is becoming a pawn in a larger game of great power competition. Deepening economic reliance on China could trigger retaliatory or counterbalancing actions from other external powers with vested interests in the country, such as the UAE, Russia, Turkey, and Western nations, further complicating its path to stability.This dynamic necessitates a refined analytical framework for understanding the BRI that explicitly accounts for the unique vulnerabilities of post-conflict states.

Consequently, this analysis points toward several critical avenues for future research. First, there is a pressing need for granular, on-the-ground studies tracking the negotiation and implementation of specific BRI-linked projects in Libya, with particular attention to their financial terms, labor practices, and interactions with local power brokers. Second, scholars should investigate the complex interplay between China's ambitions and the agendas of other external actors in Libya, analyzing how this multipolar competition shapes the country's political trajectory and economic options. Finally, the Libyan experience underscores a broader global need: the development of transparent, sustainable, and inclusive investment frameworks for post-conflict reconstruction. The current BRI model, as evidenced in Libya and other fragile states like Sudan and Yemen, appears ill-equipped to meet this need, prioritizing strategic expediency and commercial gain over the foundational principles of good governance and sustainable development that are essential for lasting peace. Ultimately, Libya's path forward requires not just investment, but investment that builds resilience rather than dependency and strengthens sovereignty rather than fractures it further.

References

[1] Rolland, N. (2020). A guide to the Belt and Road Initiative: Geoeconomic and geopolitical dimensions. *The National Bureau of Asian Research*.

[2] Brautigam, D. (2020). A critical look at Chinese 'debt-trap diplomacy': The rise of a meme. *Area Development and Policy*, 5(1), 1-14.

[3] Chellaney, B. (2017). China's debt-trap diplomacy. *Project Syndicate*. https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/china-one-belt-one-road-loans-debt-by-brahma-chellaney-2017-01

[4] Carmody, P. (2020). *The New Scramble for Africa*. Polity Press.

[5] Jones, L., & Hameiri, S. (2021). *Fractured China: How State Transformation is Shaping China's Rise*. Cambridge University Press.

[6] Olimat, M. S. (2020). *China and the Middle East: From Silk Road to Arab Spring*. Routledge.

[7] Sun, D., & Zoubir, Y. H. (2022). China's participation in conflict resolution in the Middle East and North Africa: A case study of Libya. *The International Spectator*, 57(1), 131-147.

[8] Ghiselli, A. (2021). *Protecting China's Interests Overseas: Securitization and Foreign Policy*. Oxford University Press.

[9] Farrell, H., & Newman, A. L. (2019). Weaponized interdependence: How global economic networks shape state coercion. *International Security*, 44(1), 42–79.

[10] Wehrey, F. (2022). *The Burning Shores: Inside the Battle for the New Libya*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.