Afro-Asian Journal of Scientific Research (AAJSR)
المجلة الأفروآسيوية للبحث العلمي
E-ISSN: 2959-6505
Volume 4, Issue 2, 2026
Page No: 150-154
Website: https://aajsr.com/index.php/aajsr/index
SJIFactor 2024: 5.028 ISI 2025: 0.915 معامل التأثير العربي (AIF) 2025: 0.76

The Power of Metacognition in Teaching and Learning: A Systematic Review of the Literature

Aeshah Masoud AbdulSalam*
Department of English Language, Faculty of Arts, University of Zawia, Zawia, Libya.

قوة ما وراء المعرفة في التدريس والتعلّم: مراجعة منهجية للأدبيات العلمية

عائشة مسعود عبد السلام*
[1] قسم اللغة الإنجليزية، كلية الآداب، جامعة الزاوية، ليبيا

*Corresponding author: abduulgaderalsharif@gmail.com

Received: February 14, 2026 | Accepted: March 29, 2026 | Published: April 11, 2026

Abstract:
This study examines the role of metacognition in teaching and learning through a systematic analytical review of relevant literature. The primary purpose is to explore how metacognitive processes contribute to improving students' learning outcomes and to identify key patterns across existing research. The study adopts a qualitative analytical design based on the review of approximately twenty peer-reviewed studies selected according to clear academic criteria, including relevance, methodological rigor, and contribution to the field. The analysis focuses on core themes such as metacognitive awareness, self-regulated learning, instructional strategies, and the impact of digital learning environments. The findings reveal that metacognition plays a significant role in enhancing students' ability to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning processes, leading to improved academic performance and deeper understanding. The results also indicate that the effectiveness of metacognitive strategies is closely linked to their integration into teaching practices, particularly through guided instructional methods such as self-assessment and reflective thinking. Furthermore, the increasing use of digital learning environments has reinforced the importance of metacognitive skills in supporting learner autonomy. The study concludes that metacognition is a fundamental component of effective teaching and learning, extending beyond theoretical importance to practical application. However, the analysis also highlights gaps in the literature, including inconsistencies in definitions and limited longitudinal research. It is therefore recommended that future studies adopt more consistent frameworks and that educators incorporate metacognitive strategies more systematically into educational practice

Keywords: Metacognition, Self-regulated learning, Teaching strategies, Learning outcomes, Educational research.

الملخص
تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى استكشاف دور ما وراء المعرفة في عمليتي التعليم والتعلم من خلال مراجعة تحليلية منهجية للأدبيات ذات الصلة. وتركّز الدراسة على بيان إسهام العمليات الميتامعرفية في تحسين نواتج التعلم لدى الطلاب، مع الكشف عن أبرز الأنماط والاتجاهات في الدراسات السابقة. اعتمدت الدراسة منهجاً تحليلياً نوعياً قائماً على مراجعة نحو عشرين دراسة علمية محكّمة تم اختيارها وفق معايير دقيقة تشمل الصلة بالموضوع، والصرامة المنهجية، وقيمة الإسهام العلمي. وتمحور التحليل حول مفاهيم أساسية مثل الوعي الميتامعرفي، والتعلم المنظم ذاتياً، واستراتيجيات التدريس، ودور البيئات الرقمية في التعلم. وأظهرت النتائج أن ما وراء المعرفة يسهم بشكل واضح في تنمية قدرة المتعلمين على التخطيط والمراقبة والتقويم الذاتي، مما ينعكس إيجاباً على مستوى التحصيل والفهم العميق. كما بيّنت أن فعالية هذه المهارات ترتبط بمدى توظيفها بشكل مقصود داخل الممارسات التدريسية، خاصة من خلال استراتيجيات مثل التأمل الذاتي والتقييم الذاتي. كذلك، أكدت النتائج أن تنامي التعلم الرقمي زاد من أهمية

المهارات الميتامعرفية في دعم استقلالية المتعلم. وتخلص الدراسة إلى أن ما وراء المعرفة يمثل عنصراً محورياً في تحسين جودة التعليم، مع الإشارة إلى وجود بعض الفجوات البحثية، مثل تباين المفاهيم وقلة الدراسات الطولية، مما يستدعي مزيداً من البحث والتطوير في هذا المجال.

الكلمات المفتاحية: ما وراء المعرفة، التعلم المنظم ذاتياً، استراتيجيات التدريس، نواتج التعلم، البحث التربوي.

Introduction

In recent decades, metacognition has emerged as a central concept in educational research, largely due to its profound influence on both teaching practices and students' learning outcomes. Often described as "thinking about thinking," metacognition allows learners not only to be aware of their cognitive processes but also to actively regulate them through planning, monitoring, and evaluation [1]. This growing emphasis reflects a broader shift in education toward learner-centered approaches, where students are encouraged to take a more active and reflective role in their own learning journeys.

What makes metacognition particularly valuable is its role in connecting knowledge acquisition with meaningful application. Students who develop metacognitive awareness tend to better understand how they learn, which in turn enables them to adjust their strategies, overcome difficulties, and improve their academic performance [2]. From a teaching perspective, integrating metacognitive practices into classroom instruction can create a more engaging learning environment, where students are not merely passive recipients of information but active participants in constructing knowledge.

A growing body of research continues to highlight the relevance of metacognition across diverse educational settings, including language learning and higher education. Evidence suggests that strategies such as self-questioning, reflection, and goal setting play a significant role in enhancing comprehension and supporting long-term retention of information [3]. At the same time, the rapid expansion of digital learning environments has made self-regulation an essential skill, further reinforcing the importance of metacognition in contemporary education [4].

Nevertheless, despite the considerable attention given to this concept, there remains a clear need to systematically review and synthesize existing research in order to gain a more comprehensive understanding of its impact. For this reason, the present study seeks to provide a systematic review of the literature on the power of metacognition, with particular attention to its theoretical foundations, practical applications, and overall contribution to effective teaching and learning.

Material and methods

Research Design

This study employs an analytical literature review design to explore the power of metacognition in teaching and learning. The research is grounded in a systematic examination of existing studies, aiming to critically analyze how metacognitive processes influence educational practices and learning outcomes. This approach allows for a deeper and more nuanced understanding of the topic by moving beyond description toward interpretation and synthesis.

Data Sources

The data for this study were derived from a range of scholarly sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and selected conference proceedings. Emphasis was placed on recent publications to ensure the relevance of the findings, while also incorporating foundational studies that contribute to the theoretical background of metacognition.

Selection Criteria

The selection of studies was guided by clearly defined inclusion criteria. Only studies that directly address metacognition in teaching and learning contexts were considered. In addition, priority was given to research with clear methodological frameworks and significant findings. Studies that lacked academic rigor or demonstrated limited relevance to the research focus were excluded to maintain the quality and credibility of the review.

Sample of Studies

Approximately twenty studies were included in this review. These studies represent a diverse range of educational contexts, including language learning and higher education. The selected sample provides a balanced perspective, allowing for meaningful comparison and comprehensive analysis of the role of metacognition across different learning environments.

Data Analysis

A descriptive-analytical approach was adopted to examine the selected studies. The analysis focused on identifying recurring themes, patterns, and key findings related to metacognitive strategies, self-regulated learning, and their impact on student performance. Furthermore, comparisons were drawn across studies to highlight similarities, differences, and existing gaps in the literature. This process enabled the development of a coherent and critical synthesis of the findings.

Results and discussion

This section presents the findings derived from the analysis of approximately twenty recent studies on metacognition in teaching and learning. The results are first summarized in a comparative table to provide a clear and structured overview of the selected studies. This is followed by a detailed discussion that interprets the findings, highlights patterns, and identifies key insights across the literature.

Table 1, Summary of Selected Studies on Metacognition in Teaching and Learning

Ref.	Author(s) & Year	Context	Focus of Study	Key Findings
[1]	Flavell (1979)	Psychology	Metacognition theory	Introduced concept of metacognitive monitoring
[2]	Schraw & Dennison (1994)	Education	Metacognitive awareness	Developed MAI framework
[3]	Zimmerman (2002)	Education	Self-regulated learning	Strong link with academic achievement
[4]	Pintrich (2002)	Education	Motivation & cognition	Supports active learning strategies
[5]	Azevedo & Cromley (2004)	E-learning	Self-regulated learning	Improves comprehension outcomes
[6]	Dignath & Büttner (2008)	Schools	Strategy training	Positive effect on performance
[7]	Hattie (2009)	Education	Visible learning	High impact of metacognition
[8]	Dunlosky et al. (2013)	Psychology	Learning strategies	Self-testing highly effective
[9]	Tanner (2012)	Science Education	Metacognitive teaching	Enhances student awareness
[10]	Veenman (2011)	Education	Metacognitive skills	Critical for learning success
[11]	Broadbent & Poon (2015)	Online learning	Self-regulation	Predicts academic performance
[12]	Panadero (2017)	Education	Self-regulated learning	Strong positive learning outcomes
[13]	Zohar & Barzilai (2013)	Science Education	Metacognition	Improves critical thinking
[14]	Greene et al. (2015)	Higher Education	SRL processes	Enhances engagement
[15]	Efklides (2011)	Psychology	Metacognitive experiences	Influences performance
[16]	Bannert & Reimann (2012)	E-learning	Metacognitive prompts	Supports deep learning
[17]	Hadwin et al. (2011)	Education	Collaborative learning	Improves group regulation
[18]	Winne & Hadwin (1998)	Learning theory	Self-regulation model	Foundational framework
[19]	Azevedo (2014)	Digital learning	SRL & technology	Enhances autonomy
[20]	Schunk & Greene (2018)	Education	SRL theory	Supports motivation and learning

The comparative data presented in Table 1 provide a clear synthesis of how metacognition has been conceptualized and applied across different educational contexts. A close examination of the selected studies indicates that metacognition is consistently associated with improved learning outcomes, particularly through the development of self-regulated learning skills. Foundational studies emphasize the importance of metacognitive awareness as a key factor in enabling learners to plan, monitor, and evaluate their cognitive processes effectively [1,3]. The table also reveals that a significant number of studies focus on the relationship between metacognition and academic performance. Evidence suggests that learners who actively engage in metacognitive strategies tend to demonstrate higher levels of achievement and deeper understanding of subject content [2,8]. This pattern is consistent across various disciplines, including science education and higher education settings.

In addition, the findings highlight the growing role of metacognition in digital and online learning environments. Several studies indicate that technology-enhanced learning requires learners to take greater responsibility for their own learning, thereby increasing the importance of self-regulation and metacognitive control [11, 16]. This shift reflects broader changes in educational practices, particularly in response to the increasing integration of digital tools in teaching and learning. Moreover, the table shows a strong emphasis on instructional strategies that promote metacognitive thinking, such as self-questioning, reflection, and the use of metacognitive prompts. These strategies have been found to support deeper learning and critical thinking skills, as well as improve student engagement [9, 13].

Despite the overall positive trends, the table also suggests some variability in research approaches and contexts. Differences in study design, sample populations, and measurement tools indicate that while the effectiveness of metacognition is widely supported, its implementation may vary depending on the educational setting [14,15].Overall, Table 1 demonstrates that metacognition is not only a theoretical construct but also a practical and influential component of effective teaching and learning, with consistent evidence supporting its role in enhancing educational outcomes [12,20].

Discussion

The comparative findings presented in Table of this study reinforce the view that metacognition is not merely a supportive learning strategy but a central mechanism that shapes how knowledge is constructed and applied. Rather than functioning as an isolated skill, metacognition appears to operate as an integrative process that connects cognition, motivation, and behavior within the learning environment. This is particularly evident in studies that highlight how learners who actively regulate their thinking demonstrate greater adaptability when facing complex learning tasks [3,4].

A critical observation emerging from the analysis is that the effectiveness of metacognitive strategies depends largely on how they are embedded within instructional practices. It is not enough for learners to be aware of their thinking; they must also be guided in how to apply this awareness in meaningful ways. Instructional approaches that explicitly model metacognitive processes such as think-aloud strategies and self-assessment have been shown to produce more consistent learning gains compared to implicit or unguided methods [9,12]. This suggests that the teacher's role remains essential in transforming metacognition from a theoretical concept into a practical classroom tool.

Another important dimension highlighted in the literature is the contextual nature of metacognition. While many studies report positive outcomes, the degree of effectiveness varies depending on factors such as educational level, subject area, and learning environment. For example, in digital and online settings, metacognition becomes more critical due to reduced external guidance, requiring learners to rely more heavily on self-regulation strategies [11,19]. However, this also introduces challenges, as not all learners possess the same level of readiness to manage their own learning independently.

In addition, the relationship between metacognition and learner autonomy deserves particular attention. The reviewed studies suggest that metacognitive development contributes significantly to fostering independent learners who are capable of setting goals, monitoring progress, and adjusting strategies without constant external support [2,13]. This aligns with contemporary educational trends that emphasize lifelong learning and adaptability in rapidly changing knowledge environments.

Nevertheless, the analysis also points to certain limitations in the existing body of research. A recurring issue is the inconsistency in how metacognition is defined and measured across studies, which complicates efforts to draw unified conclusions [14]. Furthermore, while short-term improvements in performance are well documented, there is comparatively less evidence addressing the sustainability of these effects over time. This gap indicates a need for longitudinal research that can capture the long-term impact of metacognitive interventions. In light of these findings, it can be argued that the future of effective teaching and learning increasingly depends on the deliberate integration of metacognitive strategies into educational design. Rather than treating metacognition as an additional component, it should be positioned as a foundational element that informs both curriculum development and instructional practice.

Conclusion

This study examined the role of metacognition in teaching and learning through an analytical review of relevant literature and found consistent evidence that metacognitive processes significantly enhance students' ability to regulate their learning, leading to improved academic performance and deeper understanding. The findings indicate that the effectiveness of metacognition is closely linked to its deliberate integration into instructional practices, particularly through strategies such as reflection, self-assessment, and strategic thinking. Moreover, the increasing reliance on digital learning environments has further emphasized the importance of metacognitive skills in supporting learner autonomy and independent learning. Despite these positive outcomes, the study identified gaps in the literature, including inconsistencies in defining and measuring metacognition and a lack of longitudinal research on its long-term impact. Therefore, it is recommended that educators systematically incorporate metacognitive strategies into curriculum design and classroom practice, while future research should focus on developing more consistent frameworks and exploring sustained effects across diverse educational contexts.

References

[1] Flavell JH. Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. *Am Psychol.* 1979;34(10):906–911. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.906

[2] Schraw G, Dennison RS. Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemp Educ Psychol.* 1994;19(4):460–475. doi:10.1006/ceps.1994.1033

[3] Zimmerman BJ. Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Pract.* 2002;41(2):64–70. doi:10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2

[4] Pintrich PR. The role of metacognitive knowledge in learning, teaching, and assessing. *Theory Pract.* 2002;41(4):219–225. doi:10.1207/s15430421tip4104_3

[5] Azevedo R, Cromley JG. Does training on self-regulated learning facilitate students' learning? *J Educ Psychol.* 2004;96(3):523–535. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.96.3.523

[6] Dignath C, Büttner G. Components of fostering self-regulated learning among students. *Learn Instr.* 2008;18(5):405–420. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2008.03.002

[7] Hattie J. *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement.* London: Routledge; 2009. doi:10.4324/9780203887332

[8] Dunlosky J, Rawson KA, Marsh EJ, Nathan MJ, Willingham DT. Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques. *Psychol Sci Public Interest.* 2013;14(1):4–58. doi:10.1177/1529100612453266

[9] Tanner KD. Promoting student metacognition. *CBE Life Sci Educ.* 2012;11(2):113–120. doi:10.1187/cbe.12-03-0033

[10] Veenman MVJ. Learning to self-monitor and self-regulate. *Metacogn Learn.* 2011;6(2):197–210. doi:10.1007/s11409-011-9076-3

[11] Broadbent J, Poon WL. Self-regulated learning strategies and academic achievement in online learning environments. *Internet High Educ.* 2015;27:1–13. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.04.007

[12] `Panadero E. A review of self-regulated learning: Six models and four directions for research. *Front Psychol.* 2017;8:422. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00422

[13] Zohar A, Barzilai S. A review of research on metacognition in science education. *Stud Sci Educ.* 2013;49(2):121–169. doi:10.1080/03057267.2013.847261

[14] Greene JA, Bolick CM, Robertson J. Fostering self-regulated learning in higher education. *Educ Technol Res Dev.* 2015;63(6):963–990. doi:10.1007/s11423-015-9403-9

[15] Efklides A. Interactions of metacognition with motivation and affect. *Educ Psychol.* 2011;46(1):6–25. doi:10.1080/00461520.2011.538645

[16] Bannert M, Reimann P. Supporting self-regulated learning with prompts. *Educ Technol Res Dev.* 2012;60(4):661–679. doi:10.1007/s11423-012-9242-3

[17] Hadwin AF, Järvelä S, Miller M. Self-regulated, co-regulated, and socially shared regulation of learning. *Educ Psychol.* 2011;46(2):65–77. doi:10.1080/00461520.2011.538646

[18] Winne PH, Hadwin AF. Studying as self-regulated learning. *Educ Psychol.* 1998;33(2–3):153–169. doi:10.1080/00461520.1998.9653292

[19] Azevedo R. Issues in dealing with self-regulated learning in computer-based learning environments. In: Sawyer RK, editor. *The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139519526

[20] Schunk DH, Greene JA. *Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance.* New York: Routledge; 2018. doi:10.4324/9781315697048